

Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH QUALITY ASSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel
		100 day		Good	lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	5
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	5
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	5






Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	(3)
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	5
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	(5)
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	(5)
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	5

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH QUALITY ASSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	(5)
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	(5)






Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	5
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	5
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	55

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH QUALITY ASSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel
				Good	lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Content of the Agenda	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	5
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	3	4	(5)
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	5






Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	5
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	5
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	5
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH QUALITY ASSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(百)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	5
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	(5)






Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	5
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	5
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH QUALITY ASSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETING

EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2 编数	3	4	(5)
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	(5)






Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3 / 3 / 3 / 3 / 3 / 3 / 3 / 3 / 3 / 3 /	4	(5)
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	(5)
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	(5)
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH QUALITY ASSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETING

EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(-5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	5

Comment:

General working communication

001102111 11 0211111 8 00111111111111111						
Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel	
				Good	lent	
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)	
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)	
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)	
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	75	
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	3	
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2		4	(5)	
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	5	






Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4 (5)
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	(5)
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	5)
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH QUALITY ASSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETING

EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting


Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel
				Good	lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	(5)
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	5
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	(5)






Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	(5)
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3	4)	5
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH QUALITY ASSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel
				Good	lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	(3
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	্ৰ
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	5
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	(5)
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	6
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	5






Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	1
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	5
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3 .	4	(5)
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	5
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting


Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	5)
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	5

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel
				Good	lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	5
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	5
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	5





Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions		2	3	4	(5)
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	5
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2篇	3	4	(5)
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH QUALITY ASSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting


Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	5
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	5

Comment:

General working communication

Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel
			Good	lent
1	2	3	4	(51)
1	2	3	4	(5)
1	2	3	4	(5)
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
		1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3	Good 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4






Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	3
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	5
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	5
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	5)
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	5)

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH QUALITY ASSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting


Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	(5)
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	5






Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	5
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	(5)
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	(5)
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH QUALITY ASSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	5

Comment:

General working communication

· ·					
Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	(3)
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	/5
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	(5)
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	(5)






Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	100	2	3	4	(5)
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	5
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2 (A)	3	4	(5)
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH QUALITY ASSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETING

EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	5

Comment:

No Further Comments


General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	(4)	- 5
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of presentations	1	2	-3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	5
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

Mo Juster Comments





Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	(5)
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	(5)
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	(5)


Comment:

No Juther comments

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH QUALITY ASSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK.	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	75)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel
				Good	lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	$\left(\begin{array}{c}4\end{array}\right)$	5
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	(4)	5
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	5
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	5
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	5






Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	(4)	5
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	5
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	(4)	5
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	5
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH QUALITY ASSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	5
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	5
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	(5)






Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	5
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	(5)
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH QUALITY ASSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting


Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel
新型制物作制。这位,在2.5mm				Good	lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	15
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

General working communication

g communication								
Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel				
1	2	2		lent				
T TENNE	2	3	4	(2)				
1	2	3	4	(3				
1	2	3	4	0				
1	2	3	4	(5)				
1	2	3	4	0				
1	2	3	4	3				
	1 1 1 1	1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3	Good 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4				






Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	5
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	$\sqrt{5}$
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	3
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	5)

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH QUALITY ASSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel
				Good	lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	(4)	5
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	(4)	5
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	(5)
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	(5)





Development of master curricula for natural disasters risk management in Western Balkan countries (573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP)



Overall success of the meeting


Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	(4)	5
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	5
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	5
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	5

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH QUALITY ASSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	5

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	75
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	(3)
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	(5)
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	5
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	5






Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	5
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	(5)
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	(4)	5
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	5

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH QUALITY ASSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel
				Good	lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	(4)	5
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3,	(4)	5
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	(3)	4	5

Comment:

General working communication

Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel lent
1	2	3	4	多
1	2	3	4	(5)
1	2	3	4	(5)
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	(5)
1	2	3	4	(3)
1	2	3	4	5
	1 1 1 1 1	1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3	Good 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4






Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	5)
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	(5)
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	3
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	2)

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH QUALITY ASSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	5)

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3 ,	4	(5)
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	(5)
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	5
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	5





Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	5
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	5
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	5

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH QUALITY ASSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel
				Good	lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	(3)
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	(B)
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	(5)





Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	(5)
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	(5)
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	15

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH QUALITY ASSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST


The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	0	2	Good	lent
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	5

Comment:

General working communication

Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel				
	200		Good	lent				
1	2	3	4	5				
1	2	3	4	(5)				
1	2	3	4	5				
1	2	3	4	(5)				
1	2	3	4	5				
1	2	3	4	5				
1	2	3	4	3				
	1 1 1 1 1	1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3	Good 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4				





Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4)	5
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	(5)
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4)	5
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	5

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH QUALITY ASSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	(4)	5
Content of the Agenda	1	2	(3),	4	5
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	5

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	(3)	4	5
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	(3)	4	5
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	(4)	5
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	5
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	(3)	4	5
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	5






Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	(3)	4	5
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	(3)	4	5
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	5
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings		2	3	4	5
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	$\left(\begin{array}{c}4\end{array}\right)$	5

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH QUALITY ASSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	5

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	5
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	5






Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	(5)
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	5
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH QUALITY ASSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	(3)

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	5)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	(5)
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	(3
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	(5)





Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions		2	3	4	(5)
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	(5)
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	(5)
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP